Edip Yuksel vs. Ali Sina Round 10 Part 38

5. Ali Sina, instead of accepting his opponent as he is; he pretends what he should be and he debates with the imagined "little devil" character in his mind. He is like a cheap spelling program that cannot distinguish between screw and escrow, between hislamic and Islamic, between hadith and Quran!

In our one-month debate, he confused me with some of his Hislamic opponents. Or he prayed that I would just devolve to one of them so he could pull my beard smack my medieval head with those volumes of holy trash! Bad luck!

For instance, I do not separate the verses of the Quran as Meccan on Medinan verses. Again, he was confusing me with Sunnis. The Quran does not contain such a distinction and a believer must follow ALL the verses of the Quran.

Ali Sina uses the common 6 STEPS to reach TO THE POWER OF 666, which I have explained in an article published at 19.org.

The first two paragraphs are mere ad hominems and there is nothing to say about them. But as for the Meccan and Medinan verses, they ARE different. I showed the difference and asked you to explain the discrepancy of the verses that I quoted. You are totally avoiding the question and repeating your refuted assertion. You may repeat this a thousand times, but as long as you don’t answer the questions those questions remain unanswered. Often Muslims use this fallacy. They dismiss your question and say, “these are old”. Yes they are old. But what is the answer? These old questions have never been answered.

6. Ali broke his promises frequently.

Ali Sina PROMISED me several times that he would not use hadith and other dubious sources in his criticism of the Quran, but each time he sought a silly excuse to bring a truck-load of them. He was like an obese person breaking his diet not to eat junk food.

Though he knew that I would not continue this debate if he one more time break his promise of not copying and pasting from the hearsay sources, yet he went a head and filled his latest response with those trash. I finished my previous response with the following challenge:

You have tried to weasel your way out of this debate after the third round when instead of responding you posted two irrelevant cut and pastes from your book. In this debate I never used any hadith to prove the fallacy of the Quran. I challenge you to show exactly when this breach happened. Every hadith I quoted was confirmed by the Quran. For example I quoted verses of the Quran talking about raid and then I quoted the haidth as the confirmation. I quoted verses about rape of the captive women, and only then I quoted the hadith showing what the Quran says actually did happen. There are hadiths that cannot be confirmed in the Quran. For example from the Quran we can't know about Muhammad's pedophilic relationship with Aisha. I never made mention of those hadiths. Your excuse it unfounded. You use this as a face saving pretext to get out of the debate because obviously you are intelligent enough to realize Islam has lost. But you can't admit that because you stand to lose a lot. You call yourself "The Islamic Reformer" and envision yourself as the Martin Luther of Islam. No doubt you think a few hundred years from now you'll be celebrated and remembered like the Christian reformer. I am sorry to shatter your sweet reveries. Islam is not going to be around for long. After this debate many of your own sect members will come to see the indefensibility of the Quran and after getting over the stage of shock and denial, that may take a while, they eventually will leave Islam. There is a limit to which we humans would go to fool ourselves especially if there is no gain for us. For you of course there is a gain. You dream to be the Islamic Martin Luther.

The truth is that it's not just the religious leaders who mislead the masses but the masses also mislead the religious leaders. As long as these religious leaders find a host of followers paying homage to them, they will not be able to detach themselves from their position. But once they find themselves deserted by their followers, they too will have to admit the truth. I believe this debate will cause many submitters who are not in the position of leadership to rethink their faith in the absurdities of the Quran and leave Islam. But you can't do such thing because you have a lot of vested interest.

> "I challenge you to use scientific evidence and reason for your cricticsm of the Quran. I will NOT continue debate with you if you ONE MORE TIME refer to or quote from hadith, syra or tafseer books that I have rejected vehemently since 1986. If you cannot criticize the Quran without referring to hearsays of hearsays, then you will be proving to the entire world that you lost this debate against someone who followed the Quran alone."

So far I used logical evidence against the Quran. My attack of the Quran had nothing to do with hadith. Now let us continue and let us talk about the so called "scientific evidences". Here is where we have to talk about science. So far we had no occasion to talk about science. There is nothing unscientific about killing, raping or looting.

Yes dear Edip. I have proven the Quran to be a book of terror without any need to rely on hadith. Your repetition of this lie proves how little you can say in defense of the Quran. You

are attacking a straw man.

> **7. Ali Sina is unaware that he might be heading to Prison:**
>
> Ali Sina should be glad that he is in a free and secular country that people are not penalized because of their opinion or belief, how outrageous and stupid they might be. Ali Sina is thinking that he can hide his identity behind the computer screens and continue spew the message of hatred and genocide against Muslims. However, things might change. What goes around will come around.

More ad hominem and more straw man, now spiced with a dash of ad baculum or threat of lawsuit. All you have to do is to disprove my charges against the Quran and all you have done so is avoiding it and engaging in all sorts of logical fallacies.

> He has already mesmerized some impressionable imbeciles and they might soon start following his advice and committing acts like Nazi or KKK members. They will kill innocent Muslims and their children in the name of "spiritual humanism." Ali Sina then will find himself in the defendant seat rather than covering his face behind computer screens. I will be there to present the jury with his "subhuman" remarks. I hope this will never happen, but if history any indication, Ali Sina's bloody dream might become his nightmare.

I look forward to that. You already heard my line of defense in round IX. This would give us an opportunity to bring the fascistic nature of Islam to the public attention. Maybe this is the nail in the coffin of Islam.